

Computation of effective action at one loop.

In steepest descent \Rightarrow

$\uparrow O(\hbar)$

$$e^{iW(\mathcal{J})} = \int D\phi e^{i(S(\phi) + \mathcal{J}\phi)}$$

$$\partial^2 \phi_c + V'(\phi_c) = \mathcal{J} \quad \tilde{\phi} + \phi_c = \phi$$

$$\therefore Z = e^{iW(\mathcal{J})} = \int D\tilde{\phi} e^{i\left(\int \frac{1}{2}(\partial\tilde{\phi})^2 - V''(\phi_c)\tilde{\phi}^2\right)}$$

$$\times e^{i(S(\phi_c) + \mathcal{J}\phi_c)}$$

expanding to quadratic order

(1 loop)

$$\ln Z(\mathcal{J}) \simeq e^{i(S(\phi_c) + \mathcal{J}\phi_c) - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \ln(\partial^2 + V''(\phi_c))}$$

note that $\ln \phi_c$ is to be thought of as $f(\mathcal{J})$

$$\ln W(\mathcal{J}) = S(\phi_c) + \mathcal{J}\phi_c + \frac{i\hbar}{2} \text{tr} \ln(\partial^2 + V''(\phi_c))$$

$\swarrow + O(\hbar^2)$

$$\Gamma(\phi) = W(\mathcal{J}) - \mathcal{J}\phi_c$$

$$\Gamma(\phi) = S(\phi_c) + \frac{i\hbar}{2} \text{tr} \ln(\partial^2 + V''(\phi_c))$$

Assume set ϕ_c indep \mathbb{R}^4 & go to (29)

Euc. space \rightarrow

$$\begin{aligned}
 i \text{tr} \ln(\partial^2 + V'') &\rightarrow \int d^4x \langle x | \ln(\partial^2 + V'') | x \rangle \\
 &= \int d^4x \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \langle x | k \rangle \langle k | \ln(\partial^2 + V'') | k \rangle \langle k | x \rangle \\
 &= \int d^4x \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \ln(k^2 + V'')
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\omega V_{\text{eff}}(\phi) = V(\phi) + \frac{i}{2} \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \ln(k^2 + \mu^2(\phi))$$

$$\mu^2 = m^2 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda \phi^2$$

Suppose $m^2 = 0$

classical $V(\phi) \sim \lambda/4! \phi^4$

theory inv under $\phi \rightarrow -\phi$

ϕ
Coleman
Weinberg

Σ

but quantum mechanically

vacuum at

$\phi = 0$

$$V'_{\text{eff}}(\phi) = 0 \text{ has}$$

solution away from origin...

$$\phi^3 + \frac{i}{2} \phi \int \frac{1}{k^2 + \mu^2} = 0 \quad \langle \phi \rangle \sim -ve$$

spontaneous symmetry breaking!

CW potential

(30)

Expand log

$$\frac{i}{2} \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \ln(1 + m^2/k^2)$$
$$= \frac{i}{2} \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \left(-m^2/k^2 + \frac{1}{2} (m^2/k^2)^2 + \dots \right)$$

set $m \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow r^2 = \frac{1}{2} \lambda \phi^2$

$$\therefore \mathcal{S}_{\text{eff}} \sim - \frac{1}{2} \lambda \phi^2 A + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{4} \lambda^2 \phi^4 \right) B + \dots$$

\uparrow $\int d^4 k / k^2$ \uparrow $\int d^4 k / k^4$

Points

- ① \mathcal{V}_{eff} picks up arbitrary power of ϕ
- ② $r^{(n)} \neq 0$ for $n > 0$
- ③ $m^2 = -v^2 \leftarrow$ min away from origin (spontaneous breaking of $\phi \rightarrow -\phi$ sym)
- ④ $A, B \rightarrow \infty$! problem - later
- ⑤ pictures $r^2: \bigcirc$ $r^4: \bigotimes$

Spin 1 fields

(31)

Symmetries of (flat) spacetime are

well known - translations P_μ &

Lorentz transformations $J_{\mu\nu}$ ($SO(3,1)$)

→ make up Poincaré group

Particles transform in irreducible unitary representations of Poincaré

Wigner tells us that these are ⁽¹⁾ all ∞ dimensional

another key reason for fields are

② classified by mass & spin

reps of

← $SO(3)/SU(2)$

$J = 0, 1/2, 1, 3/2, \dots$ etc

if $J > 0$ & $m \neq 0$

③ exactly $(2J+1)$ physical states spin J particle.

if $m = 0$ just 2 states

To make local Lorentz invariant

(32)

field theories we need to know how to embed these unitary reps into

objects with spacetime indices \leftarrow well-defined reps of Lorentz

this is non-trivial since in general there is a conflict between unitarity & Lorentz invariance

eg Suppose I have a 4-vector V_μ .

$$|4\rangle = \alpha |V_0\rangle + \beta |V_1\rangle + \gamma |V_2\rangle + \delta |V_3\rangle$$

$$\langle 4|4\rangle = |\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 + |\gamma|^2 + |\delta|^2 > 0$$

as needed

but V_μ is not

Lorentz invariant!

positive norm state.

requires a different norm

$$|\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2 - |\gamma|^2 - |\delta|^2$$

but this means $\langle 4|4\rangle$ not pos def!

for spin zero the problem is avoided ⁽³³⁾
since only 1 state

~~or~~ $\mathcal{L}(\phi)$ manifestly L.I & propagates
exactly 1 dof \leftarrow the correct # for $J=0$

However $J=1$ is more tricky...

Write down all Lorentz invariant kinetic
terms

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{a}{2} A_\mu \square A_\mu + \frac{b}{2} A_\mu \partial_\mu \underbrace{\partial_\nu A_\nu}_{\substack{\text{since } A_\mu \text{ to be} \\ \text{a 4-vector}}} + \frac{1}{2} m^2 A_\mu^2$$

But I need to remove 1 dof to agree
with Wigner

$$4 \rightarrow 3 + 1.$$

\leftarrow A_μ is a reducible rep & need to show
that only the 3 propagates --

EOM \Rightarrow

34

$$a \square A_\mu + b \partial_\mu \partial_\nu A_\nu + m^2 A_\mu = 0$$

take ∂_μ of this \rightarrow

$$[(a+b)\square + m^2] \partial_\mu A_\mu = 0$$

if $m^2 \neq 0$ & $a = -b$ this requires

$$\boxed{\partial_\mu A_\mu = 0}$$

consequence
of EOM

Thus \mathcal{L} with $a = -b$ & $m^2 \neq 0$ actually only propagates 3 dof \rightarrow the spurious piece is removed by $\partial \cdot A = 0$ which is implied by EOM.

One can also show that Energy of system ≥ 0 with this choice.

Bounding E below is a necessary condition for unitarity (as is only can up to 2 derivs in \mathcal{L})

But when $a = -b$ I can combine the 2 ~~terms~~ terms

$$\frac{1}{2} A_\mu \square A_\mu - \frac{1}{2} A_\mu \partial_\mu \partial_\nu A_\nu$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^2 \quad ! \quad \text{no mention of gauge invariance}$$

~~the~~ $L = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^2 + \frac{1}{2} m^2 A_\mu^2$

correct L has propagate 3 dof.

In general

$$A_\mu(x) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \alpha_i(p) \epsilon_\mu^i(p) e^{i p \cdot x}$$

with $p_0 = \sqrt{p^2 + m^2}$.

$\partial \cdot A = 0 \Rightarrow p_\mu \epsilon_\mu^i = 0$ $\epsilon_\mu^i = \text{polarization vector}$

3 solutions: 2 transverse

$\epsilon^1 = (0, 1, 0, 0)$
 $\epsilon^2 = (0, 0, 1, 0)$
 $\epsilon^3 \sim \left(\frac{p}{m}, 0, 0, \frac{E}{m} \right)$ $\forall p = (E, 0, 0, p)$

What about the massless case?

(36)

$$\text{Naively } \mathcal{L} \rightarrow -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^2.$$

however notice that if $m^2=0$ we lose the automatic constraint $\partial \cdot A = 0$

however the $m=0$ theory has a new property that helps.

\mathcal{L} invariant under gauge transformations

$$A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu + \partial_\mu \alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow \partial_\nu A_\mu \rightarrow \partial_\nu A_\mu + \partial_\nu \partial_\mu \alpha$$

can consistently find α such that

$$\partial_\nu A_\nu = 0$$

eliminating 1 dof

$\hookrightarrow p_\mu \epsilon_\mu = 0$ 3 possible polarizations

$$(0, 1, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1, 0) \text{ \& } (1, 0, 0, 1)$$

$\epsilon_1 \qquad \epsilon_2 \qquad \uparrow \epsilon_L$

but $E_L \propto P_M$ itself. (37)

• $A_\mu \propto \partial_\mu \Phi$ same ϕ .

but this is pure gauge - not physical.

thus at $m=0$ gauge invariance allows
us to restrict to just 2 polarizations
in agreement with Wigner.

Moral: gauge invariance is best not
thought of as a symmetry. It is a
property of the Lagrangian that allows
us to propagate the correct # dof
to be consistent with unitarity

It allows us to use local, Lorentz invariant
field theories to describe massless spin 1
particles using a field A_μ which at first
glance has 4 dof.

reflects underlying redundant description