

Lecture 5
Quantization of Spin I

(37)

Again, imagine using a path integrator.

Need source term

$$L = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + J^\mu A_\mu$$

to ensure that $\partial_\mu A_\nu = 0$ (conservation)

need L to be G.I

$$A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu + \partial_\mu \alpha$$

$$-\partial_\mu J^\mu = 0 \quad \& \quad \partial_\mu J^\mu = 0$$

\Rightarrow couple only to
conserved current

Interaction is

$$Z(J) = \int D\alpha e^{-S} \quad \text{formally}$$

$$S = \int d^4x \left(-\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + J^\mu A_\mu \right)$$

(M5)

but care is needed. You or Poincaré
integrating over α ~~gauge~~ gauge (spins --

In k space

$$A_p(\infty) = \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} e^{ikx} \tilde{A}_p(k)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int F_\mu F^\mu &= 2 \int \partial_\mu A_\nu F^{\mu\nu} = 2 \int \partial_\mu A_\nu (\partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\nu A^\mu) \\ &= 2 \int A_\nu (-D) A^\nu + A_\nu \partial_\mu \partial^\mu A^\mu \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \left[\tilde{A}_\mu(k) (k^2 g^{\mu\nu} - k^\mu k^\nu) \tilde{A}_\nu(-k) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \tilde{J}^\mu(k) A_\mu(-k) + \tilde{J}^\mu(-k) A_\mu(k) \right] \end{aligned}$$

following along ω before met invisi^{photon}
quadratic operator (propagator)

$$M^{\mu\nu} = k^2 g^{\mu\nu} - k^\mu k^\nu$$

pws gauge \rightarrow zero modes! not invertible!
gauge imbalance for k

$$\tilde{A}_p(k) \rightarrow \tilde{A}_p(k) - k^\mu \underbrace{\Phi(k)}_{\text{slème}} \underbrace{k_\mu}_{0 \text{ mode.}}$$

Defining

$$P^{\mu\nu} k^2 = k^2 g^{\mu\nu} - k^\mu k^\nu$$

$$\therefore P^\mu = g^{\mu\nu} - \frac{k^\mu k^\nu}{k^2}$$

P^μ is a projector matrix $P^2 = P$

check

$$\left(g^{\mu\nu} - \frac{k^\mu k^\nu}{k^2} \right) \left(g_{\nu\lambda} - \frac{k_\nu k_\lambda}{k^2} \right)$$

$$= g^{\mu\lambda} + \frac{k^\mu k^\lambda}{k^2} - 2 \frac{k^\mu k^\lambda}{k^2}$$

$$= P^{\mu\lambda}$$

also $\boxed{P^{\mu\nu} k_\nu = 0}$

Note : any mode $A_\mu(k) \propto k_\mu$ is annihilated by quadratic op / $P \rightarrow S$ does not depend on such modes \leftarrow physical
 \therefore prescrption should be not integrate over them in P.I !

Equivalent to projecting them with $A_\mu(k)$ (4C)

i.e. replace

$$A_\mu(k) \text{ by } \cancel{P_{\mu\nu}} A_\nu(k)$$

Notice that $k^\mu P_{\mu\nu} A_\nu = 0$

thus gauge fields that remain satisfy the condition $k^\mu A_\nu = 0$

i.e. $\partial_\mu A_\nu = 0$ again!

For these fields we can add a term to the action of form

$$\frac{1}{2\alpha} (\partial_\mu A_\nu)^2 \sim \frac{1}{2} \tilde{A}_\mu(k) k^\mu k^\nu \tilde{A}_\nu(k)$$

path integral will be indep of α !

Furthermore can choose α so that

quadratic term is

$$\tilde{A}_\mu(k) g^{\mu\nu} k^\nu \tilde{A}_\nu(k)$$

Taylorm
"gauge"

propagator

$$\boxed{D_{\mu\nu}(k) \sim \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{k^2 - i\epsilon}}$$

Seen that requirement that
 free spin 1 theory (massless) propagates
 correct # dof \rightarrow Lagrangian which
 possesses a gauge invariance

$$A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu + \partial_\mu \alpha$$

If 1 Hes couple this theory to matter
 it will be ruined (unitarity again) for
 the resulting theory to maintain gauge
symmetry

This will require that the notion of gauge
 transformation is enlarged so that it acts
 also on matter fields

Notice the following: $F_{\mu\nu} = [\partial_\mu + A_\mu, \partial_\nu + A_\nu]$
 suggests that introduce covariant der
 $D_\mu = \partial_\mu + A_\mu$ ~~just a test~~

Guess that current prescription

(43)

for coupling to matter involves

$$\partial_\mu \phi \rightarrow D_\mu \phi.$$

But what about the invariance of matter fields?

Think about complex scalar field

$$L = \int (\partial_\mu \phi)(\partial^\mu \bar{\phi}) + \dots$$

Invariant under phase transformation

$$\phi \rightarrow e^{i\alpha} \phi \quad \bar{\phi} \rightarrow e^{-i\alpha} \bar{\phi} \quad \text{global symmetry}$$

Noether current \leftarrow associated with

$$\frac{\delta L}{\delta \mu \phi} \delta \phi \sim -(\partial^\mu \bar{\phi}) i \alpha \phi$$

expect must couple A_μ to current

$$\delta_\mu \phi \quad \cancel{D_\mu \phi \rightarrow (\partial_\mu + i A_\mu) e^{-i\alpha} \phi}$$

$$= e^{-i\alpha} (\partial_\mu + i A_\mu) \phi = e^{-i\alpha} D_\mu \phi$$

$(D_\mu \phi)(D_\nu \phi)$ invariant now! \leftarrow MIRACLE!

Spin $\frac{1}{2}$

(44)

See that constructing Lagrangians for spin $J > 0$ representations of Poincaré group is non-trivial

Reps on labels by mass $m > 0$ & spin $J \leftarrow$ tells us how state behaves under "little group" \leftarrow remaining symmetry when you fix momentum & going to stationary frame $\sim \underline{\text{SO}(3)}$

No finite dimensional unitary reps \rightarrow fields
In general Lorentz covariant tensor fields
desire reducible reps \rightarrow need to impose
additional constraints to retain unitarity.

Turns out that there is an exception to this situation for the simplest type of particle
spin $\frac{1}{2}$ Weyl fields

Lorentz group
 $SO(3,1)$ has 6 generators

$$\Lambda_{\underline{i}} \sim e^{i(\theta_i J_i + \beta_i k_i)} \quad i=1..3$$

\mathfrak{f} 's regular rotations

k^i 's ~~Lorentz~~ Lorentz boosts

\uparrow antihermitian (non-compact)

(This is ultimately why need α dim reps)

take combinations

$$J_i^+ = \frac{1}{2}(J_i + ik_i), \quad J_i^- = \frac{1}{2}(J_i - ik_i)$$

can show

$$[J_i^+, J_j^+] = i\epsilon_{ijk} J_k^+$$

$$[R J_i^-, J_j^-] = i\epsilon_{ijk} J_k^-$$

$$[J_i^+, J_j^-] = 0$$

2 commuting $SU(2)$ algebras !

$$SO(3,1) = SU(2) \oplus SU(2)$$

1. Leps of $SU(3)$, thus labeled by
2 integers (A, B)

ℓ has $(2A+1)(2B+1)$ dof.

Now see (in gen) that rep of Lorentz yield r
sured Leps of $SU(3)$ (little group)

$A+B - \frac{1}{2}(A-B)$ (addition of any
momentum)
spin 1 ~~+~~ + spin 0

$\equiv (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) \leftarrow$ as we have seen!

but simplest Leps of Lorentz are $(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ &
 $(0, \frac{1}{2})$

yield single reps of $SU(3)$.
 $\sim SU(2)$

↑

Weyl field,

(can be represented by Pauli \rightarrow spinors
matrices 2×2 matrices satisfying $SU(2)$ alg.)

$$6 \quad \left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right) \equiv \begin{cases} \vec{J}^- = \frac{1}{2}\vec{\sigma} \\ \vec{J}^+ = 0 \end{cases} \quad (45)$$

$$\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \quad \begin{cases} \vec{J}^+ = \frac{1}{2}\vec{\sigma} \\ \vec{J}^- = 0 \end{cases}$$

new wave functions $\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right) \times \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$

must/must same way $\vec{J} = \frac{1}{2}\vec{\sigma}$

but under looks $\vec{J} = \frac{1}{2}\vec{\sigma}$ or $-\frac{1}{2}\vec{\sigma}$

act on 2 component Objects - spinor

$(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ left handed Weyl spinor

$(0, \frac{1}{2})$ right handed " "

$$\begin{aligned} t_R &\rightarrow e^{\frac{1}{2}(i\theta_j \sigma_j + \beta_j \epsilon_j)} t_R \\ t_L &\rightarrow e^{\frac{1}{2}(-i\theta_j \sigma_j - \beta_j \epsilon_j)} t_L \end{aligned} \quad \left. \right\}$$

To get $\propto \dim$ (hence unitary reps) introduce
spinor fields $t_L(x), t_R(x)$